Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/612,395	VLEET ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BARBARA N. BURGESS	2457
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Appeal Brief</u>		
(1) <u>BARBARA N. BURGESS</u> .	(3)	
(2) Ronald Schoenbaum.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 April 2009	Time: <u>10 am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: Claims 1, 2, 55, 56		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative Ronald Schoenbaum to discuss amendments to avoid 101issues and to further clarify the claim language. Ronald Schoenbaum gave Examiner authorization to do an Examiner's Amendment, which is submitted herewith..